

1 Item #2-Permit Fees with Shoreline Management Plan: Mr. Chance stated that a
2 copy of the suggested fee schedule was included in the meeting packet. He stated that the
3 new fee schedule was more specific and “inline” with the new Shoreline Management
4 Plan that was included in the re-licensing application. Mr. Pratt stated that the
5 Committee did not have to take action until a later date allowing all Board of
6 Commissioners the opportunity to study the fees, submit questions to staff and also for
7 public discussion. During the discussion, it was pointed out that all fees must be
8 submitted with the application by the property owner and these fees will be non-
9 refundable. Also the various types of bank stabilization were discussed.

10 Item #3-Cutting of Stumps from New Boat Lanes: Mr. Ron Williams stated that
11 he had been approached asking if the agency would in fact cut or clear new boat lanes up
12 into coves of subdivisions allowing better access to the open water. Mr. Carr stated that
13 the plan which was adopted by the SRA had met its current goals and there were no plans
14 to cut any new lanes. He stated that everyone needed to understand that it is difficult to
15 see stumps many times due to different elevations not only of the lake level but the
16 terrain within the lake itself. He stated that if the agency was to adopt a new plan to clear
17 more boat lanes, criteria would need to be developed and set priorities as well. Mr. Davis
18 stated that he felt that the Developers of the Subdivisions should be responsible for
19 clearing the lanes if they are providing a boat launch for their homeowners; therefore, the
20 developers would also be responsible for the costs incurred with the lane clearing project.

21 Mr. Arbuckle asked for a motion to adjourn since there was no other business to
22 discuss. **Mr. Foret moved, seconded by Mr. Davis to adjourn. Motion carried**
23 **unanimously. Meeting adjourned at 4:01PM.**

24
25
26

NORMAN ARBUCKLE, CHAIRMAN